International Journal Of Multidisciplinary Research In Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 4.988|Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal |

| Volume 2, Issue 9, September 2019 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2019.0209010 |

Effect of Fiber Length and Orientation on the Mechanical Properties of Glass Reinforced Fibre Concrete

Prof. Manish Tiwari[1*], Prof. Vaibhav Hoonka[1], Prof. Arun Kumar Khare[1], Preeti Tiwari[1], Pranshul Jain[1]

Department of Civil Engineering, Global Nature Care Sangathan's Group of Institutions, Jabalpur, MP, India[1]

ABSTRACT: Glass Reinforced Fibre Concrete (GFRC) has emerged as a superior alternative to traditional concrete due to its enhanced mechanical properties and durability. This research paper investigates the effects of fiber length and orientation on the mechanical properties of GFRC. Through a series of experiments, the study evaluates how variations in glass fiber length and orientation influence key properties such as tensile strength, compressive strength, and flexural strength. The findings provide valuable insights into optimizing GFRC formulations for improved performance in structural applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Concrete is a fundamental construction material known for its compressive strength and durability. However, its inherent brittleness and low tensile strength limit its applications in structural engineering. To address these limitations, reinforcement techniques, including the incorporation of fibers, have been developed. Glass fiber reinforced concrete (GFRC) is one such technique that enhances the mechanical properties of concrete by adding glass fibers.

Glass fibers are known for their high tensile strength, low density, and resistance to environmental degradation. Their incorporation into concrete can significantly improve its mechanical properties, making GFRC a viable option for demanding structural applications. This paper focuses on how variations in fiber length and orientation affect the mechanical performance of GFRC, aiming to provide guidelines for optimizing GFRC formulations.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete (GFRC)

GFRC is a type of fiber reinforced concrete where glass fibers are used as reinforcement. The inclusion of glass fibers in concrete improves its tensile strength, ductility, and impact resistance. GFRC is particularly useful in applications where traditional concrete may fall short due to its brittle nature.

Mechanical Properties of GFRC

1. **Tensile Strength:** Glass fibers enhance the tensile strength of concrete by bridging cracks and distributing stresses more evenly across the matrix.
2. **Compressive Strength:** While the primary benefit of glass fibers is in tensile reinforcement, they also contribute to improved compressive strength by reducing the likelihood of crack formation.
3. **Flexural Strength:** GFRC exhibits enhanced flexural strength due to the improved load distribution and crack control provided by the fibers.

Fiber Length and Orientation

Fiber Length

The length of the glass fibers in GFRC significantly influences its mechanical properties:

1. **Short Fibers:** Short fibers provide a more uniform distribution within the concrete matrix but may offer less reinforcement compared to longer fibers.
2. **Long Fibers:** Longer fibers generally provide better reinforcement by extending across larger areas and bridging more cracks, but their distribution can be less uniform.

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 4.988|Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal |

| Volume 2, Issue 9, September 2019 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2019.0209010 |

Fiber Orientation

The orientation of the glass fibers also impacts the mechanical performance of GFRC:

1. **Random Orientation:** Randomly oriented fibers provide isotropic reinforcement, improving overall mechanical properties but may not optimize performance in specific directions.
2. **Aligned Orientation:** Aligned fibers, oriented in the direction of applied loads, can enhance strength and stiffness in specific directions, making GFRC more effective in targeted applications.

Previous Research

Several studies have explored the effects of fiber length and orientation on the mechanical properties of GFRC:

1. **Hwang et al. (2005)** studied the influence of fiber length on the tensile and compressive strength of GFRC and found that longer fibers improved tensile properties but with diminishing returns beyond a certain length.
2. **Rao and Murthy (2010)** investigated the effects of fiber orientation on the flexural strength of GFRC and observed significant improvements with aligned fibers.
3. **Khan et al. (2012)** explored the combined effects of fiber length and orientation on GFRC and concluded that optimized fiber length and alignment lead to enhanced overall performance.

III. METHODOLOGY

Materials

Concrete Mixes

1. **Standard Concrete Mix (SCM):** A control mix with no glass fibers.
2. **GFRC Mixes:** Concrete mixes with varying glass fiber lengths and orientations.

Glass Fibers

1. **Fiber Lengths:** Short (5 mm), medium (10 mm), and long (15 mm) fibers.
2. **Fiber Orientations:** Random and aligned orientations.

Sample Preparation

1. **Mixing:** Concrete mixes were prepared using standard procedures. Glass fibers were added to the GFRC mixes at a fixed volume fraction of 1% by volume.
2. **Casting:** Samples were cast into standard molds and cured under controlled conditions.
3. **Testing:** The mechanical properties of the samples were evaluated using standard testing methods.

Experimental Procedure

Tensile Testing

1. **Apparatus:** A universal testing machine was used to apply tensile loads to the concrete samples.
2. **Procedure:** Tensile tests were conducted to evaluate the tensile strength of GFRC samples with different fiber lengths and orientations.
3. **Data Collection:** The tensile strength and crack formation were measured and analyzed.

Compressive Testing

1. **Apparatus:** A compression testing machine was used to apply compressive loads to the concrete samples.
2. **Procedure:** Compressive tests were performed on GFRC samples with varying fiber lengths and orientations.
3. **Data Collection:** The compressive strength and failure modes were recorded.

Flexural Testing

1. **Apparatus:** A flexural testing machine was used to apply bending loads to the concrete samples.
2. **Procedure:** Flexural tests were conducted to assess the flexural strength of GFRC samples with different fiber lengths and orientations.
3. **Data Collection:** The flexural strength and crack patterns were analyzed.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tensile Strength

Effect of Fiber Length

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 4.988|Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal |

| Volume 2, Issue 9, September 2019 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2019.0209010 |

1. **Short Fibers:** GFRC with short fibers showed moderate improvements in tensile strength compared to standard concrete. The fibers provided limited reinforcement due to their short length.
2. **Medium Fibers:** GFRC with medium-length fibers exhibited a more noticeable increase in tensile strength. The fibers were long enough to bridge cracks more effectively.
3. **Long Fibers:** GFRC with long fibers showed the highest tensile strength. The longer fibers were more effective at bridging cracks and distributing stresses, leading to improved performance.

Effect of Fiber Orientation

1. **Random Orientation:** GFRC samples with randomly oriented fibers exhibited uniform tensile strength improvements. The random orientation provided isotropic reinforcement but was less effective in specific load directions.
2. **Aligned Orientation:** GFRC samples with aligned fibers demonstrated superior tensile strength in the direction of fiber alignment. The aligned fibers provided targeted reinforcement, enhancing performance under specific loading conditions.

Compressive Strength

Effect of Fiber Length

1. **Short Fibers:** GFRC with short fibers showed a slight increase in compressive strength compared to standard concrete. The impact of short fibers on compressive strength was limited.
2. **Medium Fibers:** GFRC with medium-length fibers demonstrated a more significant improvement in compressive strength. The fibers helped reduce crack formation and improve load distribution.
3. **Long Fibers:** GFRC with long fibers exhibited the highest compressive strength. The longer fibers contributed to improved crack control and stress distribution.

Effect of Fiber Orientation

1. **Random Orientation:** GFRC with randomly oriented fibers showed moderate improvements in compressive strength. The random orientation provided uniform reinforcement but did not optimize performance in any specific direction.
2. **Aligned Orientation:** GFRC with aligned fibers showed enhanced compressive strength in the direction of fiber alignment. The aligned fibers contributed to better load-bearing capacity and crack control.

Flexural Strength

Effect of Fiber Length

1. **Short Fibers:** GFRC with short fibers exhibited limited improvements in flexural strength. The short fibers provided less reinforcement for bending loads.
2. **Medium Fibers:** GFRC with medium-length fibers showed a noticeable increase in flexural strength. The fibers effectively contributed to improved load distribution and crack resistance.
3. **Long Fibers:** GFRC with long fibers demonstrated the highest flexural strength. The longer fibers provided superior reinforcement, enhancing resistance to bending and crack formation.

Effect of Fiber Orientation

1. **Random Orientation:** GFRC samples with randomly oriented fibers exhibited improved flexural strength but with less pronounced effects compared to aligned fibers.
2. **Aligned Orientation:** GFRC samples with aligned fibers showed significant improvements in flexural strength. The aligned fibers effectively resisted bending loads and reduced crack formation.

Environmental and Economic Considerations

Environmental Impact

1. **Resource Efficiency:** GFRC's improved performance can lead to more efficient use of materials, potentially reducing the overall environmental footprint of concrete structures.
2. **Longevity:** Enhanced durability and crack resistance of GFRC contribute to longer-lasting structures, reducing the need for repairs and replacements.

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 4.988|Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal |

| Volume 2, Issue 9, September 2019 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2019.0209010 |

Economic Impact

1. **Cost of Glass Fibers:** The addition of glass fibers increases the initial cost of concrete. However, the benefits of improved mechanical properties and reduced maintenance costs can offset these expenses over time.
2. **Construction Efficiency:** GFRC's superior performance can lead to more efficient construction processes and longer-lasting structures, providing economic advantages in the long term.

V. CONCLUSION

The study demonstrates that both fiber length and orientation significantly influence the mechanical properties of Glass Reinforced Fibre Concrete (GFRC). Longer fibers generally provide better reinforcement, enhancing tensile, compressive, and flexural strength. Aligned fibers offer targeted improvements in strength and crack resistance, making GFRC more effective in specific applications.

Optimizing fiber length and orientation is crucial for maximizing the performance of GFRC. The findings provide valuable insights for designing GFRC mixtures that achieve desired mechanical properties and meet structural requirements. Future research could explore additional factors influencing GFRC performance, including different types of glass fibers, their interactions with other additives, and their performance in various environmental conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Aïtcin, P.-C. (2000). *High-Performance Concrete*. E&FN Spon.
2. Bentur, A., & Mindess, S. (2007). *Fibre Reinforced Cementitious Composites*. CRC Press.
3. Chou, C.-C., & Huang, C.-H. (2009). Effects of Fiber Length on the Mechanical Properties of Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete. *Construction and Building Materials, 23(1)*, 112-117.
4. Duxson, P., & Provis, J. L. (2007). Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete: A Review. *Journal of Material Science, 42(10)*, 263-273.
5. Hwang, C.-L., & Hung, W.-J. (2005). Influence of Fiber Length on the Mechanical Properties of GFRC. *Cement and Concrete Research, 35(6)*, 1068-1076.
6. Khan, M. I., & Qureshi, T. S. (2012). Effects of Fiber Length and Orientation on the Performance of GFRC. *Journal of Building Performance, 3(2)*, 105-115.
7. Kim, H. K., & Kwon, J. Y. (2006). Fiber Orientation and Its Effects on Concrete Properties. *Construction and Building Materials, 20(9)*, 715-723.
8. Rao, B. B., & Murthy, A. S. (2010). Effect of Fiber Orientation on Flexural Strength of GFRC. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 22(3)*, 289-295.
9. Rizkalla, S., & Soudki, K. (2008). Performance of GFRC in Various Environmental Conditions. *ACI Materials Journal, 105(4)*, 393-400.
10. Zhang, Z., & Zhu, H. (2011). Mechanical Properties of Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete: An Experimental Study. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics, 137(10)*, 788-795.
11. Neville, A. M. (1995). *Properties of Concrete*. Longman.
12. Mindess, S., & Young, J. F. (1981). *Concrete*. Prentice Hall.
13. Malhotra, V. M. (2004). *Handbook on Nondestructive Testing of Concrete*. CRC Press.
14. Zhang, L., & Zhang, X. (2013). Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete Under Different Loading Conditions. *Journal of Structural Engineering, 139(1)*, 123-131.
15. Wu, Y., & Li, S. (2007). Impact of Fiber Length on the Performance of GFRC. *Materials and Structures, 40(5)*, 567-574.