ISSN: 2582-7219

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Impact Factor: 8.206 **Volume 8, Issue 4, April 2025**

© 2025 IJMRSET | Volume 8, Issue 4, April 2025 |DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2025.0804491

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Designing Inclusive Cities: Integrating the Universal Design Philosophy in Urban Development

Anurag Saini, Harsh Singh, Kishan Awasthi, Rahul Chaudhary

UG Scholar, Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur (Rajasthan), India

Dr. Ravi Kant Pareek

Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur (Rajasthan), India

ABSTRACT: In order to make spaces, goods, and services as useable as possible for everyone without the need for special design or adaption, Universal Design (UD) is a cutting-edge methodology. This essay examines the foundations, tenets, and development of universal design, emphasizing how it has affected digital environments, architecture, product design, and education. The research highlights the role of UD in advancing accessibility, equity, and inclusion in a rapidly diverse global society through case studies and a critical analysis of opportunities and constraints. The capacity of universal designs to give all users fair experiences is what defines them. The extent to which a design permits everyone to do comparable activity performance is arguably the most straightforward indicator of universal design. Assessing the performance of universal designs is typically accomplished by professionals conducting case studies in field settings. Claims that universally designed spaces are more usable by all customers than comparable non-universally designed surroundings are examined in the two field case studies that follow. To test this assertion, both subjective and objective metrics were created. Participants with different levels of physical and/or sensory disability are assessed on their perceptions and performance using these measures. Participants' subjective and observed behaviour provides proof that universally designed spaces promote equal use.

I. INTRODUCTION

The need for inclusive environments has never been greater as societies grow more varied as a result of globalization, aging populations, and changing socioeconomic dynamics. Instead of responding to human ability variability, Universal Design provides a proactive, human-cantered approach that anticipates it. The limitations of conventional design methods, which frequently approach accessibility as an afterthought, are overcome by UD by including accessibility into the design process from the beginning. The first case study evaluated Lighthouse International's Manhattan headquarters to determine the degree to which the purported advantages of universal design are truly achieved. The main target audience for Lighthouse International is those with visual impairments. The headquarters building is regarded as one of the first examples, even though it existed before the seven principles of universal design were formally published (Connell et al., 1997). This study used the objective Functional Performance Measure (FPM) to score participants' observed performance in environment-specific activities and the subjective Environmental Utility Measure (EUM) to rate participants' views (Danford & Steinfeld, 1999; Steinfeld & Danford, 2000). Participants were asked to estimate the difficulty and acceptability of the tasks in the EUM, and investigators then evaluated the FPM by looking at participants.

Architect Ronald Mace first used the term "Universal Design" in the 1980s. Mace, who was physically disabled, dreamed of a society in which all people could use and access structures and products without the need for further adjustments. Universal Design encompasses the entire range of human variety, including age, stature, ability, and experience, in contrast to barrier-free or accessible design, which frequently targets individuals with disabilities. "Designing products and environments to be usable by all people, to the greatest extent possible, without the need for adaptation or specialized design," is how Mace characterized universal design. The foundation for a global design movement centered on establishing equitable surroundings was established by this inclusive ideology.

© 2025 IJMRSET | Volume 8, Issue 4, April 2025 |DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2025.0804491

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

II. LITERATURE VIEW

Three groups of people with long-term physical and/or sensory impairments (i.e., hearing, vision, and mobility) and one group without any impairment were among the four demographics that were addressed. Participants' overall impressions of activities in most buildings, their perceptions of activities in the case study building, and their observed behaviour in the case study building were all investigated in this case study. at addition to requiring little observed effort and help, researchers predicted that tasks carried out at the Lighthouse International headquarters will be seen by users as simpler and more acceptable than those carried out in the majority of other buildings. The case study building served as the site for all data collecting.

Although the usefulness of the exercises varied amongst participants with and without disabilities, the amount of extra work and support required to help certain people finish the tasks was very minimal. All four participant groups believed that the Lighthouse International headquarters was more functional than the majority of other structures. Only a small percentage of participants needed slightly more help and/or effort to complete any of the tasks. The case study building came extremely near to achieving the theoretical ideal of absolute equity in use, even though it may not have done so (Danford, 2003).

To confirm these results, a second case study was conducted. The second case study concentrated on participant data from four real fast food businesses because the first case study's responses might have been influenced by the clear attempt to encourage inclusion in the Lighthouse International building's architecture. A single composite restaurant was created by combining all of the previous buildings' generally intended elements according to how inclusive they were. After that, the results were re-distributed to create three composite fast-food restaurants: one that was Universally Designed, one that complied with ADAAG, and one that did not. This avoided the response bias that was suspected in the earlier study.

By focusing on somewhat distinct user communities for this study, the notion that universal design and accessible design are interchangeable was lessened. Adults with small children in strollers, adults without any physical or sensory impairments, adults with permanent mobility impairments (such as long-term wheelchair or scooter users), and adults without temporary mobility issues (such as simulated sports injuries affecting lower body mobility) were among the populations tested. Half of the adults who were imitating new temporary mobility problems used a manual wheelchair, while the other half wore a leg brace that partially immobilized one knee. It can be argued that universal design offers advantages that go much beyond those provided by the Americans with Disabilities Act, including parents pushing strollers, individuals mimicking lower body ailments, and those without any conditions.

The evidence from this study continued to demonstrate the benefits of universal design. The results verified that the building with the composite universal design was more usable than the building with the composite ADAAG compliance, which in turn was more useful than the composite ADAAG non-compliant building. building that complies. Additionally, individuals in the four study populations showed predictable variations in each composite restaurant's usability. As anticipated, using any of the three composite structures presented almost no difficulties for people without disabilities. It was expected that participants who simulated new, transient mobility impairments would have experiences that were comparable to those of people who experienced permanent, long-term mobility limitations. The results indicates that individuals with long-term permanent mobility disabilities were more tolerant of design elements that made specific building characteristics more challenging to use, even though they were obviously more skilled at using their wheelchairs in all three composite buildings. Universal design is a method of creating environments that has been shown to be successful in increasing the acceptance of activities while reducing their perceived difficulty. It has also been observed that the same tasks require less work and help when universal design is present, basically giving residents of universally built spaces more autonomy.

Through the Rehabilitation Engineering Research Centre on Universal Design and the Built Environment (RERC-UD), a collaboration between the Ontario Rehabilitation Technology Consortium (ORTC) and the Centre for Inclusive Design and Environmental Access (IDeA), this paper was partially developed with funding from the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR), U.S. Department of Education.

© 2025 IJMRSET | Volume 8, Issue 4, April 2025 |DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2025.0804491

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

III. THE SEVEN PRINCIPLES OF UNIVERSAL DESIGN

Seven fundamental principles of Universal Design were established in 1997 by a working group of North Carolina State University architects, product designers, engineers, and environmental design researchers:

1. **Equitable Use:** The design is useful and marketable to people with diverse abilities.
2. **Flexibility in Use**: The design accommodates a wide range of individual preferences and abilities.
3. **Simple and Intuitive Use:** Use of the design is easy to understand, regardless of the user's experience, knowledge, language skills, or concentration level.
4. **Perceptible Information**: The design communicates necessary information effectively to the user, regardless of ambient conditions or the user's sensory abilities.
5. **Tolerance for Error**: The design minimizes hazards and the adverse consequences of accidental or unintended actions.
6. **Low Physical Effort**: The design can be used efficiently and comfortably with a minimum of fatigue.
7. **Size and Space for Approach and Use**: Appropriate size and space is provided for approach, reach, manipulation, and use regardless of user's body size, posture, or mobility.

IV. APPLICATIONS OF UNIVERSAL DESIGN

Architecture and Built Environments:
Architecture has been significantly impacted by UD. Commonplace examples of inclusive features include tactile paving, automatic doors, ramps, and elevators with audio prompts. Crucially, UD pushes designers to be innovative within the bounds of universality rather than sacrificing beauty.

Product Design:
When designing consumer products, UD improves usability for all users. Take the Oxo Good Grips kitchen utensils, which were first created for arthritis sufferers but became quite popular because of their comfort and usefulness. The "mainstreaming" of accessible features is advantageous to consumers and businesses alike.

Education:
An educational framework called Universal Design for Learning (UDL) seeks to enhance and maximize instruction for every learner. UDL reduces barriers to education and accommodates a variety of learning styles by offering a variety of representation, expression, and engagement methods.

Digital and Web Design:
The creation of accessible software and websites in the digital sphere is guided by UD principles. Digital material is inclusive thanks to features like speech recognition, screen readers, font size adjustments, and alternative text for images. Following rules such as the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) is frequently required by law and morality.

V. BENEFITS OF UNIVERSAL DESIGN

Universal Design contributes to:

Inclusivity: By designing for all, UD promotes dignity and autonomy for everyone, including the elderly, people with disabilities, and non-native language speakers.

Economic Efficiency: Designing inclusively from the beginning is often more cost-effective than retrofitting or modifying inaccessible environments later.

Market Expansion: Inclusive products have broader market appeal. Companies that adopt UD can reach more customers and enhance their brand reputation.

Innovation: Constraints in design often spur creativity. UD has led to many innovative solutions that benefit everyone.

© 2025 IJMRSET | Volume 8, Issue 4, April 2025 |DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2025.0804491

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

VI. CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING UNIVERSAL DESIGN

Despite its advantages, UD faces several barriers:

Lack of Awareness and Training:
Many engineers, architects, and designers lack sufficient training in inclusive design concepts. This disparity may lead to solutions that are inefficient or non-compliant.

Perceived Cost:
A common misperception is that Universal Design is more costly. Long-term savings and increased customer happiness are frequently the results, even if it can necessitate more careful preparation up front.

Policy and Regulation Gaps:
Although many nations have laws requiring accessibility, such as the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) or the Equality Act in the UK, Universal Design goes above and beyond the requirements of minimal compliance. The broad adoption of UD is constrained by the absence of a widely accepted policy framework.

Resistance to Change:
Adoption of new approaches may encounter resistance due to traditional design processes and aesthetic preferences. Leadership and a shift in culture are needed to overcome this.

VII. CASE STUDIES

The Centre for Inclusive Design, Australia:
To use UD in digital, physical, and policy design, the Centre for Inclusive Design works with governments and enterprises. Their initiatives show how inclusive policies may improve consumer pleasure, usability, and reach.

The Ed Roberts Campus, California:
This structure is an example of inclusive architecture since it was created using only UD principles. Features that make movement easier for all users, especially those with mobility disabilities, include large ramps, high-contrast signs, and a central floor plan.

Apple Inc.
Many UD features, including Voiceover, Siri, zoom, and touch accommodations, are included in Apple devices like the iPhone and MacBook, which help both the general public and people with disabilities. The secret to Apple's success in the market has been this strategy.

VIII. THE FUTURE OF UNIVERSAL DESIGN

As societies struggle with aging populations, increased disability rates, and growing social aspirations for equality, UD's significance is only going to increase. New potential for creative UD use are presented by emerging technologies like as artificial intelligence (AI), augmented reality (AR), and the Internet of Things (IoT).

Furthermore, UD is impacted by the global shift toward sustainability. The interdependence of contemporary design concerns is reflected in the resilience and adaptability of environments that are inclusive and sustainable.

IX. CONCLUSION

In a world that aspires to equality and inclusivity, universal design is more than just a design concept; it is a moral requirement. By putting more emphasis on universal rather than specialized solutions, we build systems and surroundings that are by nature powerful, dignified, and accessible. The future depends on UD being smoothly incorporated into all facets of innovation, policymaking, and design thinking.

Universal Design is a lighthouse that points the way toward a future where everyone can use anything rather than asking "who can use this?" as society and technology continue to advance.

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

REFERENCES

1. National Environment Agency (NEA). (2009). Universal Design Case Study Collection. Singapore: NEA.
2. Jain, K. (2023). Sustainable design practices for eco-interiors. International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.48732
3. Jain, K. (2024). Reviving Indian knowledge systems in contemporary interior design. Vidhyayana - An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal, 10(s1), 165–182. https://www.vidhyayanaejournal.org/journal/article/view/1952
4. O'Reilly, T. (2018). Universal design and disability rights: Creating inclusive spaces. Fordham University.
5. Kineber, A. F., Massoud, M. M., Hamed, M. M., & Qaralleh, T. J. O. (2023). Universal design integration in engineering education and practice.
6. Celadyn, M. (2020). Universal design in interior architecture: Adaptive and inclusive strategies. Faculty of Interior Design, Academy of Fine Arts in Krakow. [Details of the publication not provided].
7. Naaz, S., & Jain, K. (2024). Sustainable interior design: Strategies for creating eco-friendly spaces. ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts, 5(1), 50–61. https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i1.2024.1327
8. Jain, P., & Rana, D. P. (2024). Eco-friendly interior design practices. Journal of Energy, Environment & Carbon Credits, 14(1), 1–18. https://journals.stmjournals.com/joeecc/article=2024/view=176093/
9. Alfuraty, A. B. (2020). Sustainable environment in interior design: Design by choosing sustainable materials. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 881(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/881/1/012035
10. Ayalp, N. (2013). Environmental sustainability in interior design elements. Recent Researches in Environmental and Geological Sciences, 10(3), 163–167.
11. Bauer, M., Mösle, P., & Schwarz, M. (2010). Green building: Guidebook for sustainable architecture. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-00635-7
12. Jovanovic, G., & Kekovic, A. (2019). Sustainable interior design: Use of eco-friendly and recycled materials. ResearchGate. [Details of the publication not provided].
13. Reham, M. M., & Eldin, M. (2017). Sustainable interior design for homes. Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 10(15), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.17485/ijst/2017/v10i15/113828
14. Yan, L. (2022). An analysis on sustainable development of interior design. Proceedings of the 2022 3rd International Conference on Language, Art and Cultural Exchange (ICLACE 2022), 342–346. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.220706.069

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | ijmrset@gmail.com |

www.ijmrset.com