e-ISSN:2582-7219

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Volume 7, Issue 8, August 2024

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

Impact Factor: 7.521

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.521| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Present Challenges and AI Implementation in Radiology

Rahul Patel[1], Navneet Kumar Verma[2], Shashikant Singh[3]

Assistant Professor, KIPM College of Engineering & Technology, GIDA, Gorakhpur, UP, India [1]

Associate Professor, Buddha Institute of Pharmacy, GIDA, Gorakhpur, UP, India[2]

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Mahayogi Gorakhnath University, Gorakhpur (U.P.), India[3]

ABSTRACT: Picture analysis has advanced significantly as a result of DL and AI approaches. Artificial intelligence-based methods will benefit physicians as well as radiologists, even though they won't replace them entirely. These techniques will most likely be utilized for consultation and decision support rather than decision-making. However, radiologists must be aware of these instruments and how the medical field uses them. Research has demonstrated the high accuracy, robustness, speed, and use of AI algorithms in medical imaging; nevertheless, most of these algorithms, particularly DL algorithms, are still in the experimental stage and have not yet been further improved or implemented in clinical settings. The widespread application of contemporary AI technology in therapeutic settings is hampered by numerous obstacles. First off, it is often not practicable to train these algorithms on very big data sets. Moreover, prior to the application of DL algorithms, all institutions must employ identical research methodologies. Enhancing algorithm performance and accuracy is a challenging, intricate issue.

KEYWORDS: Radiology, Artificial Intelligence-Based Techniques, AI Algorithms, Medical Imaging

I. INTRODUCTION

It is important to define artificial intelligence (AI) before we talk about it. We could start by giving a more clear definition of intelligence. According to one perspective advanced by Simmons and Chappell, intelligence is the capacity to solve issues both implicitly and overtly as well as the innate ability to figure out answers to difficulties when they come up.[1] This leads us to define artificial intelligence (AI) as the ability of a computer to solve problems and pick up new techniques for doing so. This suggests that the object needs to be able to sense its environment, which includes detecting input data and its parameters, looking for patterns and recognizing them, which entails figuring out what the characteristics of the problem are, organizing and executing the best course of action, and applying inductive reasoning to derive general principles, which entails picking up knowledge from experience.[2] Hardware or software designed to carry out a specific task is referred to in this study as artificial intelligence (AI). The goal of artificial intelligence (AI), an applied science, is to develop computer systems to the point where they can perform activities just as well as or even more effectively than humans.[3] For many years, artificial intelligence has been researched and applied in the medical industry. These days, it is widely employed in vital clinical tasks such as the interpretation of arterial blood gas and computerized ECG analysis [4]. It would be difficult for a radiologist in practice in 2018 to have missed the constant talk about artificial intelligence (AI). For example, a renowned AI researcher has advocated for the abolition of radiology education [5]. One president used the departure of radiologists as proof of the economic impact of artificial intelligence. AI's prominence is evident, as evidenced by [6] and the numerous pieces in books and the public domain [7]. It may therefore come as a surprise to some readers that artificial intelligence has been discussed in radiology since at least 1994.[8] Within machine learning, supervised and unsupervised learning are frequent subcategories. Annotated data, also referred to as "ground truth" data, is fed to the algorithm in supervised learning so that it can improve. Giving the system unlabeled input allows it to classify the data on its own, without the need for supervision.[9] A particular kind of supervised machine learning that has garnered the most attention recently is deep learning, and more especially deep convolutional neural networks, or CNNs. Multi-layer neural networks, often known as deep CNNs, are supervised learning techniques that use an algorithmic structure inspired by neural networks.[10]

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.521| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

The scalability of this technology and the ability of neural network architecture to automatically extract relevant features from data with no additional instruction beyond labeled input data are its main strengths.

II. WHAT IS AI? A BRIEF OVERVIEW

Although the word artificial intelligence (AI) has several meanings, in the medical industry, it usually refers to systems or equipment that can recognize something about their environment and use that information to achieve a predefined goal.[11]. Most medical use cases are classified as "weak" or "narrow" AI, which means that they need the completion of a single task or set of related tasks. Machine learning, in particular, is an area of artificial intelligence that focuses on developing computer algorithms without explicitly incorporating decision-making principles.[12].Within machine learning, supervised and unsupervised learning are frequent subcategories. The system is trained on annotated data, sometimes known as "ground truth" data, in supervised learning. Giving the system unlabeled input allows it to classify the data on its own in an unsupervised learning environment [12]. Deep learning, and more especially deep convolutional neural networks, or CNNs for short, are the aspect of supervised machine learning that has attracted the most attention lately. Deep neural networks, or DCNNs, are a kind of supervised learning that employs an algorithmic structure based on multi-layered neural networks [13]. This method's strength lies in its scalability and neural network architecture's capacity to identify pertinent features on its own from data without guidance other than labelled input data.

III. WHY IS AI NEEDED FOR IMAGING?

In addition to being expert diagnosticians, radiologists also serve as gatekeepers for a valuable service and as guardians of patient safety, among other roles. AI seeks to replace this diagnostic function. The diagnostic role of radiologists has gained more attention due to technological advancements in AI and imaging. This position essentially consists of two steps: first, analysing images, and second, interpreting the results. These require the ability to perceive images visually and the mental ability to recognize patterns in order to differentiate between normal and abnormal. [14] This is a difficult endeavour since human interpretation of images often overlooks crucial information and results in inaccurate interpretations. Since Garland discovered in 1949 that human errors in radiography are, in fact, ubiquitous, many academics have attempted to quantify the incidence and impact of these errors. [15] The 2004 RADPEER study, which looked at 20,286 cases with over 250 radiologists, indicated a 3-4% mistake rate, which is consistent with earlier data.[16] In a 2014 study, Kim and Mansfield reviewed 656 imaging cases with postponed diagnosis and discovered 1269 errors.[17] Notably, they noted that in 196 out of 656 cases (30%), follow-up radiologic testing had failed to identify the correct diagnosis. Additionally, they classified the most common types of errors as incorrect reasoning, under reading, search satisfaction, and location discovery. This study, however, can be criticized for omitting to account for the years of expertise of the radiologists, the intricacy of the cases, or the therapeutic significance of each error. The reason this last point is so significant is that it has been suggested that in incredibly complex situations, so-called errors could be seen as legitimate differences of opinion.[18] Growing case numbers, fatigue, anatomical diversity, and incorrect patient placement can all lead to misdiagnosis.[19, 20] It is clear that the complexity of radiological misinterpretation is reflected in the frequency of errors. Errors happen, but what impact do they have on patients in a medical setting? In a 1995 investigation, two experienced radiologists compared 100 body MRI data, and 39 of the results showed disagreements. Of them, 23 represented significant deviations that required a significant adjustment to the way patients were managed.[21] A follow-up retrospective research found that 49 (19%) of 259 patients with non-small cell lung cancer who had a nodular lesion on a chest X-ray had the lesions missed. [22–23] Due to the delayed diagnosis, 21 patients (43%) out of these 49 were able to move from stage T1 to T2, which caused the 5-year survival to drop from 60-80% to 40-50%.

IV. USING AI TO IMPROVE RADIOLOGY WORKFLOW

The most vulnerable to sudden disruptions is probably the management of AI work lists. Classifiers have been developed for aberrant chest radiographs in order to expedite the evaluation of an abnormal exam.[24] Additionally, classifiers for cerebral haemorrhage and stroke on noncontract head CT as well as acute stroke on diffusion weighted MRI have been developed. [25, 26]. Similar techniques could be used to find studies that might not be diagnostic, such as cross-sectional exams that reveal mobility degeneration or radiographs that are positioned wrongly. If the

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.521| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

technologist found difficulties throughout the scanning process, they might retake the exam or speak with the radiologist to minimize issues with patient call backs and treatment delays. Another stage of reinterpretation that AI can assist with is creating sensible and intuitive hanging protocols. Hanging protocols are the specifics of how a research and relevant prior studies are exhibited when opened in a PACS. According to a poll taken by radiologists, automated hanging techniques accounted for the majority of their enhanced output.[27] Currently, hanging operations review images from several scanners at one workstation using Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) data, which is inherently non-uniform and unpredictable. Ongoing research towards this goal leverages AI's ability to identify structures inside the image and merge that information with image metadata to show photos in a way that can shorten the time between exam loading and interpretation.

V. CURRENT CHALLENGES WITH AI APPLICATION IN TRAUMA AND EMERGENCY RADIOLOGY

There are several challenges that need to be solved before the above mentioned potential advantages of AI deployment can be realized. The majority of these difficulties are associated with three main issues: technological adoption, education, and ethics. The largest barrier to the use of AI in medicine is the requirement that radiologists put together a multidisciplinary team and spend two or three years building the network before they can develop an algorithm for a particular task. A trauma and emergency radiologist needs a variety of things, including adequate video card infrastructures, accurate labelling and outcome data, and more. In fact, an emergency radiologist may achieve 98% accuracy if they can correctly put everything together after the method is tested! Although a researcher's dream, this level of accuracy raises the question of how to have all the necessary elements in place as quickly as feasible [28]. The training, validation, and testing of an AI algorithm depend on the proper data collection being assembled. Examine the variables diseases, modalities, different body parts, and scanners—that are being added to the data gathering. These complicate the process of gathering and validating data. The more variations there are, the harder it is to cover every dimension. In addition, it is critical to consider the presence of clinical heterogeneity, which encompasses distinct outcomes, patient demographics, and geographic regions. It is challenging to cover them all because each issue and circumstance requires a validated dataset.[28] Therefore, from the beginning of an AI development project, goals for an AI system must be realistic in order to minimize difficulties in gathering sufficient data sets. Because of its scalability, the technique offers specific benefits when the data is gathered by an emergency radiologist. However, because of the heterogeneity found in the huge datasets, it also has significant drawbacks. This needs to be recognized.. An accurate algorithm, the newest technology, and a successful business plan are necessary for scaling an AI product. The issue is money. Tesla and self-driving cars are good examples, as they have gone through a rigorous training procedure. When combined, these are all incredibly safe. It has taken them a while to arrive at this. For any major research endeavour to succeed, funding and persistence are necessary. Underneath seemingly straightforward activities is a great deal of hidden depth. When creating an algorithm, a large amount of data, processing power, and the ideal combination of code are required to accomplish the task. This explains why a certain condition is the focus of every algorithm or breakthrough. Algorithms do not generally generalize well, especially when used to distinct data sets. It's possible that a radiology-developed method can't be generalized. In one hospital setting, it might work well, but not in another.[28] How can we implement it at hospitals across the nation, and maybe even abroad? It is worthwhile to consider this. Regarding the impact of artificial intelligence in radiology, there are numerous theories. When faced with tedious tasks, they might be a godsend or an indication that radiologists are close to retirement.[29] Some well-known AI specialists projected that radiography, despite being an emergency specialty, would be among the first occupations to be automated in 2016. [30]

VI. THE FUTURE OF AI IN IMAGING

As a result, AI's potential as a second reader in imaging software has been shown; nevertheless, this potential is currently limited by the noticeable but dropping high FP rate. However, IBM is confident about the future of imaging AI as evidenced by its $1 billion investment in the Watson Health Project, which is an algorithm that has been evaluated in the medical field. Watson will be able to learn from 30 billion photos thanks to this investment, which will enable it to develop [31] its algorithm to pull data from the greatest knowledge base to date. Watson will also have access to patient-supporting information, including medical history, blood tests, imaging results, and genetic sequencing. Artificial intelligence (AI) technology in the future might be able to utilize algorithms that are much more productive and accurate, addressing problems with high recall and FP rates as well as the capacity to detect

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.521| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

abnormalities on any imaging modality, including the diagnosis of challenging and unusual circumstances that might go missed in other circumstances. [32] Professional uptake represents another significant challenge. A study by Sitet al. shows that radiologists who have little to no knowledge of artificial intelligence fear the new technology [33]. According to Biet al., radiologists are specifically concerned about the potential threat posed by AI to their profession [34].While AI is unlikely to replace radiologists, radiologists who use AI may replace radiologists who do not, according to Langlotz [35]. However, the successful adoption of AI applications in radiology requires not only professional uptake but also education and further training of the workforce. According to Jungmann et al., radiologists who do not understand how AI works are reluctant to use the technology in their work [36], which represents a significant barrier to AI. Nevertheless, the interpretative and non-interpretative applications of AI in radiology provide many opportunities for the development of the field. Despite some concerns, the potential of artificial intelligence in the discipline has created much enthusiasm and dynamism in the field, according to Pesapane et al.[37]. The rising scientific interest in AI applications in radiology is evidenced by the large number of studies outlining and testing new applications. A search for “artificial intelligence in radiology” yields 23,482 results in PubMed, including 17,717 results in the last 5 years (2019–2024). Hence, artificial intelligence offers the opportunity to significantly advance innovation in radiology.AI innovations in radiology can offer many practical benefits to practitioners, too [24]. According to Jalalet al., artificial intelligence can reduce diagnostic errors, decrease workload, free up radiologists’ time and allow professionals to focus on patient care and communication [38]. Similarly, Mello-Thoms and Mello claim that AI can greatly complement case interpretation to reduce intra-and inter-reader variability and burnout among radiologists due to high workloads and expectations [7].Perhaps most importantly, AI applications in radiology can improve the accuracy of interpretation and diagnosis. According to a systemic review of 535 studies of AI applications in radiology, artificial intelligence technologies across the sample achieved Dice of 0.89 (range 0.49–0.99), AUC of 0.903 (range 1.00–0.61) and Accuracy of 89.4(range 70.2–100) [32], indicating positive performance by AI. While many of the challenges outlined above persist, AI-assisted radiologists can achieve consistently more accurate diagnoses and ultimately deliver better patient care and outcomes with the aid of new technologies.

VII. CONCLUSION

One practical method for ensuring the viability of AI applications in radiology is adaptive intelligence, which is a part of continuous learning artificial intelligence. Continuous learning AI systems are not like many of its "turnkey" predecessors in that they cannot be employed in a passive way. Instead, radiology departments should be more involved in the development of continuous learning AI through the provision of timely and accurate data feeds, participation in quality control, analysis of continuous learning AI results, and ongoing testing of the technology's efficacy. We should realize that, for the time being at least, people tolerating subpar AI output poses a greater risk than AI outsmarting humans. We need to learn how to collaborate with "self improving machines" if we are serious about using them to enhance healthcare. In order to do this, we must completely reframe AI solutions from the adversarial, distant "machines replacing humans" to the cooperative, integrated, and "machines augmenting the work of humans." The uses of AI in daily life are expanding exponentially. Surgeons who specialize in dentistry have always been at the forefront of integrating technology. Understanding a range of ideas and the methods involved will be very beneficial in the future when it comes to quickly adjusting to changes and redefining responsibilities for a fulfilling career. Artificial intelligence has already had a significant impact on the field of medicine and is predicted to have more in the future, especially given the increasing pressure on healthcare facilities to offer high-quality, reasonably priced care to a growing number of patients.

REFERENCES

1. A.B. Simmons, S.G. Chappell, Artificial intelligence-definition and practice, IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering 13(2) (1988) 14-42.
2. M. Minsky, Steps toward artificial intelligence, Proceedings of the IRE 49(1) (1961) 8-30.
3. C.E. Kahn, Artificial intelligence in radiology: decision support systems, Radio Graphics 14(4) (1994) 849-861.
4. R.A. Miller, Medical Diagnostic Decision Support Systems--Past, Present, And Future: A Threaded Bibliography and Brief Commentary, Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 1(1) (1994) 8-27.
5. A.I. versus M.D. The New Yorker. Available at: https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2017/04/03/ai-versus-md. Accessed July 26,2018

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.521| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

6. Remnick D. Obama reckons with a Trump presidency. The New Yorker. November 28, 2016. Available at: https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2016/11/28/obama-reckons-with-atrump-presidency. Accessed July 26, 2018
7. How artificial intelligence is edging its way into our lives. New York Times. Available at: https://www.nytimes.com/2018/02/12/ technology/artificial-intelligence-new-work-summit.html. Accessed July 26, 2018
8. Kahn CE Jr. Artificial intelligence in radiology: decision support systems. Radiographics 1994;14(04):849–861
9. Zaharchuk G, Gong E, Wintermark M, Rubin D, Langlotz CP. Deeplearning in neuroradiology. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2018; February 1 (Epub ahead of print)
10. Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton GE. ImageNet classification withdeep convolutional neural networks. In: Pereira F, Burges CJC,Bottou L, Weinberger KQ, eds. Advances in Neural InformationProcessing Systems 25. Red Hook, NY: Curran Associates, Inc.;2012:1097–1105. Available at: http://papers.nips.cc/paper/4824-imagenet-classification-with-deep-convolutional-neural-networks.pdf. Accessed July 26, 2018
11. Computational intelligence: a logical approach. Available at:http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~poole/ci.html. Accessed July 26, 2018
12. Zaharchuk G, Gong E, Wintermark M, Rubin D, Langlotz CP. Deeplearning in neuroradiology. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2018; February 1 (Epub ahead of print)
13. Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton GE. ImageNet classification withdeep convolutional neural networks. In: Pereira F, Burges CJC,Bottou L, Weinberger KQ, eds. Advances in Neural InformationProcessing Systems 25. Red Hook, NY: Curran Associates, Inc.;2012:1097–1105. Available at: http://papers.nips.cc/paper/4824-imagenet-classification-with-deep-convolutional-neural-networks.pdf. Accessed July 26, 2018.
14. E.A. Krupinski, The Future of Image Perception in Radiology, Academic Radiology 10(1)(2003) 1-3.
15. L.H. Garland, On the scientific evaluation of diagnostic procedures, Radiology 52(3)(1949) 309-28.
16. J.P. Borgstede, R.S. Lewis, M. Bhargavan, J.H. Sunshine, RADPEER quality assuranceprogram: a multifacility study of interpretive disagreement rates, Journal of the AmericanCollege of Radiology : JACR 1(1) (2004) 59-65.
17. Y.W. Kim, L.T. Mansfield, Fool Me Twice: Delayed Diagnoses in Radiology WithEmphasis on Perpetuated Errors, American Journal of Roentgenology 202(3) (2014) 465-470.
18. P.J. Robinson, Radiology's Achilles' heel: error and variation in the interpretation of the Röntgen image, The British Journal of Radiology 70(839) (1997) 1085-1098.
19. D.L. Renfrew, E.A. Franken, K.S. Berbaum, F.H. Weigelt, M.M. Abu-Yousef, Error inradiology: classification and lessons in 182 cases presented at a problem case conference, Radiology 183(1) (1992) 145-150.
20. A. Pinto, Spectrum of diagnostic errors in radiology, World Journal of Radiology 2(10)(2010) 377.
21. C.J. Wakeley, A.M. Jones, J.E. Kabala, D. Prince, P.R. Goddard, Audit of the value of double reading magnetic resonance imaging films, The British Journal of Radiology 68(808) (1995) 358-360.
22. L.G.B.A. Quekel, A.G.H. Kessels, R. Goei, J.M.A. van Engelshoven, Miss Rate of LungCancer on the Chest Radiograph in Clinical Practice, Chest 115(3) (1999) 720-724.
23. W.J. Scott, J. Howington, S. Feigenberg, B. Movsas, K. Pisters, Treatment of Non-smallCell Lung Cancer Stage I and Stage II, Chest 132(3) (2007) 234S-242S.
24. Machine learning “red dot”: open-source, cloud, deep convolutional neural networks in chest radiograph binary normalityclassification. Clinical Radiology. Available at: https://www.clinicalradiologyonline.net/article/S0009-9260(18)30206-X/fulltext.Accessed July 26, 2018
25. Prevedello LM, Erdal BS, Ryu JL, et al. Automated critical testfindings identification and online notification system using artificial intelligence in imaging. Radiology 2017;285(03):923–931
26. Lee E-J, Kim Y-H, Kim N, Kang D-W. Deep into the brain: artificialintelligence in stroke imaging. J Stroke 2017;19(03):277–285
27. Wang T, Iankoulski A, Mullarky B, Healthcare G, MullarkyB.Intelligent tools for a productive radiologist workflow: howmachine learning enriches hanging protocols. Available at:http://www3.gehealthcare.com
28. Chong J. The Light and Dark of AI in Radiology. Montreal,Canada: Lecture at McGill University; 2019.
29. Langlotz CP. Will Artificial Intelligence Replace Radiologists?Oak Brook, IL: Radiological Society of North America; 2019.
30. Obermeyer Z, Emanuel EJ. Predicting the future—big data,machine learning, and clinical medicine. New Eng J Med. 2016;375(13):1216
31. IBM makes a quantum processor available for use online, Physics Today (2016).

32. K. Doi, Current status and future potential of computer-aided diagnosis in medicalimaging, The British Journal of Radiology 78(suppl_1) (2005) s3-s19.
33. Sit C, Srinivasan R, Amlani A, Muthuswamy K, Azam A, MonzonL,et al. Attitudes and perceptions of UK medical students towardsartificial intelligence and radiology: a multicentre survey.InsightsImaging. 2020 Feb 5;11(1):14.
34. Bi WL, Hosny A, Schabath MB, Giger ML, Birkbak NJ, MehrtashA,et al. Artificial intelligence in cancer imaging: clinical challengesand applications.CA Cancer J Clin. 2019 Mar;69(2):127–57.
35. Langlotz CP. Will artificial intelligence replace radiologists.RadiolArtif Intell. 2019 May 15;1(3):e190058.
36. Jungmann F, Jorg T, Hahn F, Pinto Dos Santos D, Jung-mann SM, Düber C,et al. Attitudes toward artificial intelligenceamong radiologists, IT specialists, and industry.Acad Radiol. 2021Jun;28(6):834–40.
37. Pesapane F, Codari M, Sardanelli F. Artificial intelligence inmedical imaging: threat or opportunity? Radiologists again at theforefront of innovation in medicine.Eur Radiol Exp. 2018 Oct24;2(1):35.
38. Jalal S, Parker W, Ferguson D, Nicolaou S. Exploring the roleof artificial intelligence in an emergency and trauma radiologydepartment.Can Assoc Radiol J. 2021 Feb;72(1):167–74.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | ijmrset@gmail.com |

www.ijmrset.com