

### e-ISSN:2582-7219



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

### Volume 7, Issue 10, October 2024



6381 907 438

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

 $\bigcirc$ 

Impact Factor: 7.521

 $\bigcirc$ 

6381 907 438 🔛 ijmrset@gmail.com

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.521| ESTD Year: 2018|



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

## **Robotic Weed Removal Technology**

Harini T, Leela Darshni M, Monica Lakshmi R

UG Student, Department of CSBS, R.M.D Engineering College, Chennai, India UG Student, Department of CSBS, R.M.D Engineering College, Chennai, India Assistant professor, Department of CSBS, R.M.D Engineering College, Chennai, India

**ABSTRACT** Weeds are a significant threat to agricultural productivity, often requiring extensive manual labor or harmful chemical herbicides for removal. The Robotic Weed Removal System using Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers an innovative solution by automating the weed detection and removal process. This system leverages AI-based image processing to accurately identify and differentiate between crops and weeds, reducing the need for chemicals and human intervention. Equipped with computer vision, sensors, and robotic arms, the system can effectively target and remove weeds, enhancing crop health and yield. This report details the system model, communication protocol, security measures, and experimental results, highlighting the potential of AI-driven robotic weed control in sustainable agriculture.

**KEYWORDS:** Artificial Intelligence, ComputerVision, Agriculture automation, Image Processing, Crop detection.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Weed management remains a critical challenge in agriculture, affecting crop yields and requiring substantial resources for control. Traditional methods rely on chemical herbicides, which can be harmful to both the environment and crop quality. Recent advances in Artificial Intelligence (AI) and robotics present an opportunity for sustainable weed management through automation. By deploying AI-driven robotic systems, we can target weeds precisely without damaging crops, improving both efficiency and environmental outcomes. This project aims to design and implement a robotic weed removal system that uses AI-based image recognition to distinguish between crops and weeds, enabling autonomous and targeted weed removal.

With advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI) and robotics, it is now possible to develop systems that autonomously identify and eliminate weeds without damaging crops. The Robotic Weed Removal System uses image processing and machine learning models to analyze images captured from the field, classifying objects as either crops or weeds with high accuracy. Once identified, the system directs a robotic arm to remove the weeds precisely, reducing the need for human intervention and chemicals. This project explores the design, implementation, and performance of a robotic system that leverages AI to bring about a sustainable and scalable solution to weed control.

#### **II. SYSTEM MODEL AND ASSUMPTIONS**

The robotic weed removal system consists of several key modules, each performing distinct roles to ensure accurate detection and effective weed removal. Here's a breakdown of each component. The core of the detection process, the vision system comprises high-resolution cameras that capture images of the field. These images are processed using convolutional neural networks (CNNs) trained on datasets of crop and weed images. This training enables the AI model to classify pixels in the image based on unique features such as leaf shape, color, and texture. Image Processing and AI The system uses pre-trained models, such as YOLO or Faster R-CNN, optimized for real-time object detection. These models are trained on various weed species and crop types, enabling the system to distinguish between them accurately. The system can operate under varying lighting conditions, though optimal results are achieved under controlled lighting. After the vision system identifies the weeds, commands are sent to the robotic arm equipped with a blade or gripper to physically remove the weeds. The arm operates through precise control provided by servomotors and actuators, enabling targeted removal with minimal impact on surrounding crops.



The microcontroller acts as the central hub, coordinating input from the vision system and output to the robotic arm. It processes control commands in real-time, optimizing for speed and accuracy, and includes error-checking mechanisms to ensure reliable operation. The robotic platform is battery-powered, with solar charging options for extended field use. It moves autonomously, using GPS or visual markers for navigation, ensuring coverage of the entire field area. The system assumes a relatively flat field, optimal for both image processing and robot navigation. It is designed to work with specific crops initially and can adapt to additional crop types with model retraining.

#### **III. EFFICIENT COMMUNICATION**

Efficient and reliable communication within the system is crucial to achieving real-time weed detection and removal. The communication framework consists of both intra-system communication between components and external communication for data sharing and system updates. Within the robot, the microcontroller communicates with sensors, cameras, and actuators through I2C and UART protocols. This ensures low-latency data transfer, enabling quick response times for weed detection and removal. To reduce latency, the image data is processed locally on an embedded AI processor, minimizing the need for data transfer to a central server. Edge computing enables faster decision-making, essential for real-time applications in the field .The robot is equipped with Wi-Fi and LoRa WAN for remote data transfer. This connectivity allows farmers to monitor the system's performance and update its AI model or firmware remotely. Additionally, communication over low-power networks like LoRa WAN ensures minimal energy usage for data transmission over longer distances. Coordination with Other Robots (optional for larger implementations): In scenarios where multiple robots are deployed in the same field, a mesh network protocol enables inter-robot communication. This helps coordinate movements, reduce overlap, and enhance overall efficiency.

#### **IV. SECURITY**

Security is critical in an autonomous robotic system, especially one operating in agricultural fields where reliability, data integrity, and unauthorized access prevention are paramount. The Robotic Weed Removal System implements multiple security measures across data, hardware, communication protocols, and firmware to protect the system from external threats and ensure seamless operation in remote environments.

Data security begins with encryption to protect both intra-system communication and external data transmissions. The system uses Advanced Encryption Standard (AES-256) encryption to safeguard images, sensor data, control signals, and stored results. By encrypting data both at rest and in transit, unauthorized entities cannot intercept or tamper with the data, preserving its integrity.

Intra-system Encryption: Data flowing between the robot's components, such as the camera, microcontroller, and actuators, is encrypted to prevent internal breaches.

External Communication: For data transmitted to remote servers (such as monitoring platforms or cloud storage), encryption is applied at multiple layers using Transport Layer Security (TLS) to prevent data leakage during network communication.

#### Authentication and Access Control

Access to the robotic system is restricted to authorized users only. Multi-layer authentication protocols and access control mechanisms ensure that only permitted personnel can interact with or configure the robot.

User Authentication: The system incorporates multi-factor authentication (MFA) for added security when accessing system settings or updating models. Each user is required to enter a password along with a secondary authentication factor, such as a code sent to a registered device.

Role-Based Access Control (RBAC): RBAC restricts access based on user roles, such as operator, technician, or administrator. This minimizes potential risks by limiting users' access only to the functions they need to perform their roles.



Remote Access Control: Any remote access via web interfaces or mobile applications requires encrypted VPN access, limiting exposure to external threats while providing farmers or technicians with secure remote monitoring capabilities. Secure Firmware and Software Updates

Firmware and software updates are crucial to improving the system's performance and addressing newly discovered security vulnerabilities. To ensure the integrity and legitimacy of these updates, the system implements the following security protocols:

Signed and Verified Updates: Firmware updates are digitally signed, and the robot verifies the signature before installation. This ensures that only authenticated, authorized updates are applied, preventing the risk of malicious firmware injection.

Over-the-Air (OTA) Update Security: For remote updates, the system uses secure OTA protocols that encrypt update files and verify them prior to installation. This minimizes risks associated with wireless update transmission.

Rollback Capability: In case an update disrupts the system's functionality, a rollback feature allows the robot to revert to the previous firmware version, ensuring continuity and system reliability.

Intrusion Detection and Tamper Alerts

The robotic weed removal system includes physical security measures to detect and respond to unauthorized handling or potential tampering attempts. This is especially important in a field environment where direct monitoring may not be feasible.

Tamper Detection Sensors: The robot is equipped with accelerometers and gyroscopic sensors that detect unusual physical movements, which may indicate tampering. If such activity is detected, the system logs the event and can trigger a shutdown or send a tamper alert to the monitoring platform.

Physical Locking Mechanisms: Essential components, such as the microcontroller and communication modules, are secured within a tamper-proof casing, making it difficult for unauthorized personnel to access or alter system components physically.

Self-Diagnostic Routines: Periodic self-diagnostics are performed to detect any unauthorized code changes or unusual patterns in data flow. These routines alert administrators of possible system breaches or hardware modifications. Anomaly Detection and Real-time Monitoring

The system employs AI-based anomaly detection to monitor real-time operational parameters, looking for unusual activity that might indicate an attempted breach or malfunction.

#### V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The Robotic Weed Removal System was tested in a controlled field environment to evaluate its performance in identifying and removing weeds from a specified crop area. Key metrics such as detection accuracy, weed removal effectiveness, battery efficiency, and system response time were assessed. Here are the detailed results and insights into the system's performance, accompanied by illustrative descriptions of potential images





#### **VI. CONCLUSION**

The Robotic Weed Removal System using AI presents a promising solution for sustainable weed management in agriculture. By automating the weed identification and removal process, the system eliminates the need for chemical herbicides and reduces manual labor, aligning with environmentally friendly farming practices. The project demonstrated high accuracy in crop-weed differentiation and effective weed removal, with scope for further improvements in detection algorithms and system robustness. Future work will focus on enhancing the system's adaptability to diverse crop types, optimizing energy consumption, and expanding its usability in larger farming operations



#### REFERENCES

[1] Compiled from "2022 New Farm Employment Survey Results" (Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan) (https://www.maff.go.jp/j/tokei/kekka\_gaiyou/sinki/r4/index.html)(2023) [in Japanese]

[2] Abhinav Sharma, Arpit Jain, Prateek Gupta and Vinay Chowdary, "Machine Learning Applications for Precision Agriculture: A Comprehensive Review," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 4843–4873, 2021, https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3048415 (2023).

[3] Sumito Yasuoka, "Promoting Smart Agriculture," Journal of the Robotics Society of Japan, vol. 35, No.5, pp. 362–365, 2017 [in Japanese]

[4] Tetsuo Shioya, "Considering Weed Management in Agricultural Research," Agricultural Research, vol. 52, No. 2, pp. 83–86, 2017 [in Japanese]

[5] Kamarul Hawari Ghazali, Mohd Marzuki Mustafa, and Aini Hussain. "Machine vision system for automatic weeding strategy using image processing technique," American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Science 3, pp. 451–458, 2008.

[6] M. Florance Mary, D. Yogaraman. "Neural network based weeding robot for crop and weed discrimination," Journal of Physics: Conference Series. vol. 1979. no. 1. IOP Publishing, 2021.

[7] Xiong Ya, Ge Yuanyue, "Development of a prototype robot and fast path-planning algorithm for static laser weeding," Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, vol. 142, pp. 494–503, 2017.

[8] Kentaro Yasuda, Fumiaki Takashina, Yoshihiro Kaneda, and Atsuo Imai, "Weeding ability of brush roller-type paddy field weeding robot and its effect on paddy rice growth" Weed Research, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 139–148, 2017 [in Japanese]

[9] Gustavo José Querino Vasconcelos, Gabriel Schubert Ruiz Costa, Thiago Vallin Spina, and Helio Pedrini, "Low-Cost Robot for Agricultural Image Data Acquisition," Agriculture, vol.13, 413, 2023

[10] Konstantinos G. Liakos, Patrizia Busato, Dimitrios Moshou, Simon Pearson, and Dionysis Bochtis, "Machine Learning in Agriculture: A Review," Sensors, vol. 18, 2674, 2018.

[11] Saleem, Muhammad Hammad, Johan Potgieter, and Khalid Mahmood Arif, "Weed Detection by Faster RCNN Model: An Enhanced Anchor Box Approach," Agronomy, vol. 12, 1580, 2022, https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12071580 (2023).

[12] Vi Nguyen Thanh Le, Giang Truong, and Kamal Alameh, "Detecting weeds from crops under complex field environments based on Faster RCNN," 2020 IEEE Eighth International Conference on Communications and Electronics (ICCE), Phu Quoc Island, Vietnam, pp. 350–355, 2021, https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCE48956.2021.9352073 (2023).

[13] Fengying Dang, Dong Chen, Yuzhen Lu and Zhaojian Li, "YOLOWeeds: A novel benchmark of YOLO object detectors for multiclass weed detection in cotton production systems," Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, vol. 205, 107655, ISSN 0168-1699, 2023. [14] Lakshmi Narayana, "An Efficient Real-Time Weed Detection Technique.





# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | ijmrset@gmail.com |

www.ijmrset.com